

EVALUATION FORM RESULTS
STAKEHOLDER MEETING JUNE 8, 2004

Category	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
The method and timeliness of notification about this meeting was good.	7	25	2	
The meeting date and time were good.	9	24	3	
The meeting location was good.	15	18	2	
The meeting environment (facility) was good.	20	16		
The meeting format was good.	10	24	1	
The handout materials were clear and helpful.	6	24	4	
The length of the presentations was just right.	8	24	4	
The content of the presentations was helpful.	7	29		
The stakeholder involvement opportunities were clearly outlined.	9	22	4	
The stakeholder process outlined will allow adequate input.	5	22	5	1
The stakeholder process outlined will address your individual concerns/goals.	2	22	5	

EVALUATION FORM RESULTS
STAKEHOLDER MEETING JUNE 8, 2004

Describe your favorite part of the meeting. What made it your favorite?
Breakout sessions with like-minded individuals.
Breakout session.
Breakout group because of detailed discussions of issues.
Breakout.
Stakeholder meeting.
Notice of people involved, room for discrepancy.
Description of the stakeholder process and identification of interest groups.
It was all well done.
Logical presentation.
Breakout sessions--getting to work.
Breaking out into group discussions.
Question and answer--to have questions answered! But it was too short.
Brainstorming. Sharing ideas and hearing ideas.
Exchange of ideas.
[Illegible] & flip chart.
Brainstorming.
Breakout group.
Breakout session--good interaction.
Brainstorming--a sense of progress.
Break--because of the chats it afforded.
Stakeholder groups--lots of great ideas.
The brainstorming where everyone took a turn. This ensured full participation.
Breakout session. Good because we got to share ideas.
The breakout group sessions--informative to see others' concerns.
Describe your least favorite part of the meeting. What made it your least favorite?
Intro.
Powerpoint slide was NOT readable.
Q&A
Need handouts of slides.
Breakout sessions--conflict.
Discussion of rules.
Overexplanation of administrative and procedural rules--too redundant.
Tediousness of initial meeting, overemphasized too many details.
Long intro--but necessary. Don't fully understand how this process will work.
Work order discussion not relevant to audience.
Housekeeping--necessary but pedantic.
Review of the participant information handout. It was a rote recital of what we could read.
Opening--too long.
Room was too big, but we didn't know how many would come.
Q&A--same crap by same liberal groups & me.
Too long a break--time wasted

EVALUATION FORM RESULTS
STAKEHOLDER MEETING JUNE 8, 2004

Other Suggestions/Comments
Good start.
Directions were wrong. More than 1 mile (by quite a bit) from the Y. If you use projected graphics that have tiny text, please provide them as handouts (specificially Gantt chart).
Saturday morning or afternoon better time for meetings.
I couldn't read info on projector. Stakeholder instructions and stakeholder categories should have been in handout. Lots of people couldn't read overhead projector.
Simplified bulleted ideas on slide show.
The slides were difficult to read because the text was too small and the audience was too far back from the screen. I like that the expectations and guidelines for the process were clearly presented. Meeting was well facilitated and I appreciate the timeliness of the presenters.
Good work--carry on.
I hope we get a chance to review the stakeholders' goals together as part of a group discussion in an effort to build true consensus from the bottom up.
Handouts of slides.
Meet in Dripping Springs (e.g. high school).
Longer question & answer period.
More citizens need to be aware of the meetings. A survey of residents, perhaps an on-line survey, would help more people participate.
Clarify committee meeting and representative process. It seems like there won't be enough time for this to work.
Could be a little later so can have evening meal.
Meet again. Could be at 7.
You seem pretty well organized--but there doesn't seem to be enough time for the various groups to come to consensus with only 4 meetings.
Put all Powerpoint presentations on the web site. It was not clear how the extensive stakeholder interaction that will be needed will happen.
No Sat. meeting.
Reevaluate 8 mos. Timeline and shorten if possible.
Projected visual aides unreadable from audience--esp. overview of planning process--include as handout so it is not necessary to read aloud from podium? Stakeholders categories, too.
Put the screen and projector down in front of the speaker close to the audience. Sometime we have got to discuss our issues together. Today was the best way to start.
Like the use of Gantt chart, but it was too small for me to see--would have been nive to include as a handout. Many of the following slides were also too small to read. Access to refreshments would be nice if we are going 4 hours.
Need facts--not emotion and wishes to control life of others.
Advertise in paper.
Too few people involved--too little meeting time.